

# Well partial orderings and better partial orderings, with applications to algebra

Stephen G. Simpson

Pennsylvania State University  
<http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/>  
simpson@math.psu.edu

Vanderbilt University  
stephen.g.simpson@vanderbilt.edu

Shanks Workshop  
Ordered Algebraic Structures and Logic  
Vanderbilt University  
February 6–7, 2016

## Well partial orderings.

**Definition.** A well partial ordering is a partially ordered set  $P$  with any of the following properties.

(By **Ramsey's Theorem**, these properties are pairwise equivalent.)

1.  $P$  has no infinite descending sequences and no infinite antichains.
2. Any upwardly closed subset of  $P$  is finitely generated.
3. For any sequence  $a_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  of elements of  $P$ , there exist  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $i < j$  and  $a_i \leq a_j$ .

A sequence for which this conclusion fails is called a bad sequence. Property 3 says that  $P$  has no bad sequences.

4. For any sequence  $a_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  of elements of  $P$ , there exists a subsequence  $a_{i_n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ , such that  $a_{i_0} \leq a_{i_1} \leq a_{i_2} \leq \dots$ .
5. Any linearization of  $P$  is a well ordering.

## Examples of well partial orderings.

1. Any well ordering is a well partial ordering.
2. The union of any finite sequence of well partial orderings is a well partial ordering.
3. The product of any finite sequence of well partial orderings is a well partial ordering.
4. (**Higman's Lemma**) If  $P$  is a well partial ordering, then  $P^* = \{\text{finite sequences of elements of } P\}$  is a well partial ordering.

Here  $P^*$  is partially ordered as follows:

$\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \leq \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$  if and only if  $a_1 \leq b_{j_1}, \dots, a_m \leq b_{j_m}$   
for some  $j_1, \dots, j_m$  such that  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n$ .

**Summary.** The class of well partial orderings is closed under certain finitary operations.

## An application to algebra: the Hilbert Basis Theorem.

Let  $K$  be a field. The Hilbert Basis Theorem says: for any positive integer  $k$ , every ideal in the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  is finitely generated.

A standard proof of the Hilbert Basis Theorem uses **Dickson's Lemma**: The monomials  $x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_k^{e_k}$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are well partially ordered under divisibility. In other words,  $\mathbb{N}^k$  is well partially ordered under the product ordering. This is a special case of item 3 above.

## Another application to algebra: Formanek/Lawrence.

Let  $S$  be the infinite symmetric group, i.e., the group of permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$  which move only finitely many elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem** (Formanek/Lawrence, 1978). For any field  $K$  of characteristic 0, the group ring  $K[S]$  is Noetherian, i.e., it has no infinite ascending sequence of two-sided ideals. Equivalently, any two-sided ideal in  $K[S]$  is finitely generated.

## Proof of the Formanek/Lawrence Theorem.

Let  $S$  be the infinite symmetric group.

**Theorem** (Formanek/Lawrence, 1978). For any field  $K$  of characteristic 0, the group ring  $K[S]$  is Noetherian.

**Proof.** A diagram is a finite, downwardly closed subset of  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . By Higman's Lemma, the diagrams form a well partial ordering under inclusion. A set  $\mathcal{U}$  of diagrams is said to be closed if  $\forall D (D \in \mathcal{U} \iff \forall E (E \supsetneq D \Rightarrow E \in \mathcal{U}))$ . Note that any closed set of diagrams is upwardly closed under inclusion. Hence, any closed set of diagrams is finitely generated. Formanek and Lawrence exhibit a one-to-one, order-preserving correspondence between two-sided ideals in  $K[S]$  and closed sets of diagrams. Hence, any two-sided ideal in  $K[S]$  is finitely generated, Q.E.D.

**Remark.** It is unknown whether the Formanek/Lawrence Theorem can be generalized from the specific group  $S$  to some large family of locally finite groups. The proof for  $S$  relies on detailed information about the representation theory of the finite symmetric groups  $S_n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , information which is not available for other finite groups.

## A generalization of Ramsey's Theorem.

Given an infinite set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $[X]^k = \{Y \subset X \mid Y \text{ is of cardinality } k\}$ , and let  $[X]^\infty = \{Y \subseteq X \mid Y \text{ is infinite}\}$ . There is a generalization of Ramsey's Theorem due to Fred Galvin and Karel Prikry.

**Ramsey's Theorem.** If  $[\mathbb{N}]^k = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l$   
then there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  $[X]^k \subseteq C_i$  for some  $i$ .

**Galvin/Prikry Theorem.** We endow  $[\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  with the product topology.  
If  $[\mathbb{N}]^\infty = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l$  where each  $C_i$  is a Borel set,  
then there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  $[X]^\infty \subseteq C_i$  for some  $i$ .

As a consequence of the Galvin/Prikry Theorem, we have:

**Lemma.** Let  $a$  be a Borel function from  $[\mathbb{N}]^\infty$   
into a discrete topological space. Then, there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  
the restriction of  $a$  to  $[X]^\infty$  is continuous.

## The idea behind better partial orderings.

**Definition.** A sequence is a function  $a$  such that  $\text{dom}(a) = \mathbb{N}$ .  
A subsequence of  $a$  is the restriction of  $a$  to some  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$ .

**Definition.** An array is a function  $a$  such that  $\text{dom}(a) = [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$ .  
A subarray of  $a$  is the restriction of  $a$  to  $[X]^\infty$  for some  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$ .

We may identify a sequence  $a$  with the array  $X \mapsto a(\min(X))$ .

From this point of view, an array is a kind of generalized sequence.

The idea behind better partial ordering theory is to imitate  
well partial ordering theory, replacing sequences by Borel arrays.

## Better partial orderings.

**Definition** (essentially due to Crispin St. J. A. Nash-Williams).

A better partial ordering is a partial ordering  $P$  with any of the following pairwise equivalent properties.

We endow  $P$  with the discrete topology.

1. For any Borel array  $a : [\mathbb{N}]^\infty \rightarrow P$ , there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  $a(X) \leq a(X \setminus \{\min(X)\})$ .

A Borel array for which this conclusion fails is called a bad array.  
Property 1 says that  $P$  has no bad array.

2. For any Borel array  $a : [\mathbb{N}]^\infty \rightarrow P$ , there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  $a(Y) \leq a(Y \setminus \{\min(Y)\})$  for all  $Y \in [X]^\infty$ .

3. For any continuous array  $a : [\mathbb{N}]^\infty \rightarrow P$ , there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  $a(X) \leq a(X \setminus \{\min(X)\})$ .

4. For any continuous array  $a : [\mathbb{N}]^\infty \rightarrow P$ , there exists  $X \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$  such that  $a(Y) \leq a(Y \setminus \{\min(Y)\})$  for all  $Y \in [X]^\infty$ .

## A theorem of Nash-Williams.

We have seen that the class of well partial orderings has some finitary closure properties.

Nash-Williams proved that the class of better partial orderings has analogous infinitary closure properties.

The infinitary analog of Higman's Lemma reads as follows:

**Theorem** (Nash-Williams). If  $P$  is a better partial ordering, then  $P^{**} = \{\text{transfinite sequences of elements of } P\}$  is a better partial ordering.

Here  $P^{**}$  is partially ordered as follows:

$\langle a_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle \leq \langle b_j \mid j < \beta \rangle$  if and only if there exists a function  $f : \{i \mid i < \alpha\} \rightarrow \{j \mid j < \beta\}$  such that  $f(i) < f(i')$  for all  $i < i' < \alpha$ , and  $a_i \leq b_{f(i)}$  for all  $i < \alpha$ .

As a corollary of the Nash-Williams Transfinite Sequence Theorem, we have:

**Corollary 1.** If  $P$  is a better partial ordering, then the downwardly closed subsets of  $P$  form a better partial ordering under inclusion.

Taking complements, we also have:

**Corollary 2.** If  $P$  is a better partial ordering, then the upwardly closed subsets of  $P$  form a better partial ordering under reverse inclusion.

On the next slide we present an application to algebra.

## An application to algebra.

Applying Corollary 1 to the better partial ordering  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , we see that the diagrams are better partially ordered under inclusion. And then, applying Corollary 2 to the diagrams, we see that the upwardly closed sets of diagrams are better partially ordered under reverse inclusion. But then, as in the proof of the Formanek/Lawrence Theorem, it follows that the two-sided ideals of  $K[S]$  are better partially ordered under reverse inclusion. In particular we have:

**Theorem** (Hatzikiriakou/Simpson, 2015). The group ring  $K[S]$  satisfies the antichain condition, i.e., it has no infinite family of two-sided ideals which are pairwise incomparable under inclusion.

.

# Thank you for your attention!