

# Implicit Definability in Arithmetic

Stephen G. Simpson

Pennsylvania State University

<http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/>

simpson@math.psu.edu

Logic Seminar

Cornell University

January 28–29, 2014

## Definitions.

Let  $\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =$  be the natural number system.

For  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  we say that  $X$  is arithmetical if  $X$  is first-order definable over  $\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =$ , i.e.,  $X = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =) \models \Phi(n)\}$  for some first-order formula  $\Phi$ .

For  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  we say that  $X$  is arithmetical in  $Y$  if  $X$  is first-order definable over  $\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =, Y$ . We say that  $X, Y$  are arithmetically equivalent (arithmetically incomparable) if each (neither) is arithmetical in the other.

Let  $\text{Pow}(\mathbb{N}) = \{X \mid X \subseteq \mathbb{N}\} =$  the powerset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\text{Pow}(\mathbb{N})$ . We say that  $S$  is arithmetical if  $S = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid (\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =, X) \models \Phi\}$  for some first-order sentence  $\Phi$ .

For  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  we say that  $X$  is implicitly arithmetical or an arithmetical singleton if the singleton set  $\{X\}$  is arithmetical.

## Five Theorems.

1. There exists an arithmetical singleton which is not arithmetical.
2. There exist  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that neither  $X$  nor  $Y$  is an arithmetical singleton, but  $X \oplus Y = \{2n \mid n \in X\} \cup \{2n + 1 \mid n \in Y\}$  is an arithmetical singleton.
3. If  $S \subseteq \text{Pow}(\mathbb{N})$  is nonempty countable arithmetical, then some  $X \in S$  is an arithmetical singleton.
4. There are arithmetically incomparable  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X$  and  $Y$  are arithmetical singletons.
5. There is a countable arithmetical  $S \subseteq \text{Pow}(\mathbb{N})$  such that not all  $X \in S$  are arithmetical singletons.

Theorem 3 is due to Hisao Tanaka, 1972,  
Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

Theorems 4 and 5 are due to Leo Harrington,  
1975–1976, unpublished.

## Some literature.

Hisao Tanaka, A property of arithmetic sets,  
Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society,  
1972, 31, pages 521–524.

Leo Harrington, Arithmetically incomparable  
arithmetic singletons, April 1975, 28 pages,  
handwritten.

Leo Harrington, McLaughlin's conjecture,  
September 1976, 11 pages, handwritten.

Peter M. Gerdes, Harrington's solution to  
McLaughlin's conjecture and non-uniform  
self-moduli, December 2010, 27 pages,  
arXiv:1012.3427v1, submitted for publication.

Stephen G. Simpson, Implicit definability in  
arithmetic, April 2013, 14 pages, preprint,  
submitted for publication.

## Proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be the truth set for arithmetic, i.e., the set of Gödel numbers of first-order sentences which are true in  $\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =$ . Tarski's Theorem on undefinability of truth says that  $T$  is not arithmetical. However,  $T$  is implicitly arithmetical, namely

$$\forall \Phi \forall \Psi (\#(\Phi \wedge \Psi) \in T \iff (\#(\Phi) \in T \wedge \#(\Psi) \in T)),$$

$$\forall \Phi (\#(\exists n \Phi(n)) \in T \iff \exists n (\#(\Phi(n)) \in T)), \text{ etc.,}$$

where  $\#(\Phi)$  = the Gödel number of  $\Phi$ .

## Proof of Theorem 2.

We use Cohen forcing over  $\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =$ .

Let  $\{0, 1\}^*$  be the set of bitstrings, i.e., finite sequences of 0's and 1's.

For  $\sigma, \tau \in \{0, 1\}^*$  we write  $\sigma \hat{\wedge} \tau$  = the concatenation,  $\sigma$  followed by  $\tau$ .

We write  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$  if  $\sigma$  is an initial segment of  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $\sigma \hat{\wedge} \rho = \tau$  for some  $\rho \in \{0, 1\}^*$ .

A set  $D \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is said to be dense if  $\forall \sigma \exists \tau (\sigma \subseteq \tau \text{ and } \tau \in D)$ .

Let  $D_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be an enumeration of the dense sets which are arithmetical. We choose our enumeration to be arithmetical in  $T$ , the Tarski truth set.

## Proof of Theorem 2, continued.

Define sequences of bitstrings

$\sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2 \subseteq \dots \sigma_i \subseteq \sigma_{i+1} \subseteq \dots$  and  
 $\tau_0 \subseteq \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \subseteq \dots \tau_i \subseteq \tau_{i+1} \subseteq \dots$  as follows.

Stage 0. Let  $\sigma_0 = \tau_0 = \langle \rangle$  = the empty sequence.

Stage  $3n + 1$ . Let  $\sigma_{3n+1} = \sigma_{3n} \hat{\cup} \rho$   
and  $\tau_{3n+1} = \tau_{3n} \hat{\cup} \rho$  where  $\rho$  is the least  
member of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $\sigma_{3n} \hat{\cup} \rho \in D_n$ .

Stage  $3n + 2$ . Let  $\sigma_{3n+2} = \sigma_{3n+1} \hat{\cup} \rho$   
and  $\tau_{3n+2} = \tau_{3n+1} \hat{\cup} \rho$  where  $\rho$  is the least  
member of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $\tau_{3n+1} \hat{\cup} \rho \in D_n$ .

Stage  $3n + 3$ . If  $n \in T$  let  $\sigma_{3n+3} = \sigma_{3n+2} \hat{\cup} \langle 1 \rangle$  and  
 $\tau_{3n+3} = \tau_{3n+2} \hat{\cup} \langle 0 \rangle$ . If  $n \notin T$  let  $\sigma_{3n+3} = \sigma_{3n+2} \hat{\cup} \langle 0 \rangle$   
and  $\tau_{3n+3} = \tau_{3n+2} \hat{\cup} \langle 1 \rangle$ .

Let  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\bigcup_i \sigma_i$  and  $\bigcup_i \tau_i$  are the  
characteristic functions of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

Clearly  $X$  and  $Y$  are Cohen generic over  $\mathbb{N}, +, \times, =$ .  
Hence  $X$  and  $Y$  are not arithmetical singletons.  
However,  $X \oplus Y$  is arithmetically equivalent to  $T$ ,  
hence  $X \oplus Y$  is an arithmetical singleton.

## Recursion-theoretic concepts.

In order to prove Theorems 3, 4, 5 we use recursion-theoretic concepts and notation.

From now on, instead of working with subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we shall work with functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{X \mid X : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  = the Baire space.

Within  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we consider points  $X, Y, \dots \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and sets  $P, Q, \dots \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

For  $e, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we write  $\{e\}^X(i) \simeq j$  to mean: the program with Gödel number  $e$  using oracle  $X$  and input  $i$  eventually halts with output  $j$ .

We write  $\{e\}^X(i) \downarrow$  to mean that  $\exists j (\{e\}^X(i) \simeq j)$ .

We write  $X \leq_T Y$  to mean that  $X$  is Turing reducible to  $Y$ , i.e.,  $\exists e \forall i (X(i) = \{e\}^Y(i))$ .

The Turing jump of  $X \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $X' \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $X'(e) = 1$  if  $\{e\}^X(e) \downarrow$ , otherwise  $X'(e) = 0$ .

The iterated Turing jumps  $X^{(n)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined inductively by  $X^{(0)} = X$  and  $X^{(n+1)} = (X^{(n)})'$ .

Fact:  $X$  is arithmetical in  $Y \iff \exists n (X \leq_T Y^{(n)})$ .

## Recursion-theoretic concepts, continued.

For  $X, Y \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we define  $X \oplus Y \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by

$$(X \oplus Y)(2i) = X(i) \text{ and } (X \oplus Y)(2i + 1) = Y(i).$$

A predicate  $R \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})^k \times \mathbb{N}^n$  is said to be computable if  $\exists e \forall X_1 \dots \forall X_k \forall i_1 \dots \forall i_n (\{e\}^{X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_k}(i_1, \dots, i_n) = 1$  if  $R(X_1, \dots, X_k, i_1, \dots, i_n)$ ,  $\{e\}^{X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_k}(i_1, \dots, i_n) = 0$  if  $\neg R(X_1, \dots, X_k, i_1, \dots, i_n))$ .

A set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is said to be  $\Pi_n^{0,Y}$  if and only if

$$P = \{X \mid \forall i_1 \exists i_2 \forall i_3 \dots i_n R(X, Y, i_1, \dots, i_n)\}$$

for some computable predicate  $R \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})^2 \times \mathbb{N}^n$ .

We also write  $\Pi_n^0 = \Pi_n^{0,0}$  where  $0 \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by  $0(i) = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Note: There are  $n$  alternating quantifiers. The last quantifier is  $\forall i_n$  if  $n$  is odd,  $\exists i_n$  if  $n$  is even.

Fact:  $P$  is arithmetical  $\iff \exists n (P \text{ is } \Pi_n^0)$ .

Caution:  $P$  is arithmetical if  $\exists n (P \text{ is } \Pi_1^{0,0^{(n)}})$ .

However, for sets  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the converse may fail.

Fact:  $P$  is topologically closed  $\iff \exists Y (P \text{ is } \Pi_1^{0,Y})$ .

## Proof of Theorem 3.

Suppose  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is arithmetical.

For example, suppose  $P$  is  $\Pi_3^0$ , say

$$P = \{X \mid \forall i \exists j \forall n R(X, i, j, n)\}$$

where  $R$  is computable.

Given  $X$ , we replace the quantifiers  $\exists j$  and  $\forall n$  by canonical Skolem functions  $f$  and  $g$ .

Let  $g(i, j) = 1 +$  the least  $n$  such that  $\neg R(X, i, j, n)$  if such an  $n$  exists, otherwise  $g(i, j) = 0$ .

Note:  $g(i, j) = 0 \equiv \forall n R(X, i, j, n)$ .

Let  $f(i) = 1 +$  the least  $j$  such that  $g(i, j) = 0$  if such a  $j$  exists, otherwise  $f(i) = 0$ .

Note:  $f(i) > 0 \equiv \exists j (g(i, j) = 0) \equiv \exists j \forall n R(X, i, j, n)$ .

Hence  $X \in P \equiv \forall i (f(i) > 0)$ .

Note:  $X \oplus f \oplus g$  is arithmetically equivalent to  $X$ .

Define  $F : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $F(X) = X \oplus f \oplus g$ .

Clearly  $F$  is a one-to-one correspondence between  $P$  and  $Q = \{F(X) \mid X \in P\}$ .

Clearly  $Q$  is  $\Pi_1^0$ , hence topologically closed.

Assume  $P$  is countable and nonempty. Then  $Q$  is countable and nonempty, hence there exists  $Y \in Q$  such that  $Y$  is isolated in  $Q$ . It follows that  $Y$  is a  $\Pi_1^0$  singleton. Let  $X \in P$  be such that  $F(X) = Y$ . Then  $X$  is an arithmetical singleton, Q.E.D.

## Proof of Theorems 4 and 5.

To prove Theorems 4 and 5 we use treemaps.

Let  $\mathbb{N}^* =$  the set of strings, i.e., finite sequences of natural numbers. For  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we write  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$  if  $\sigma$  is an initial segment of  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $\sigma^\frown \rho = \tau$  for some  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . For  $X \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we write

$$X|n = \langle X(0), X(1), \dots, X(n-1) \rangle$$

and note that  $X|n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

A tree is a set  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\forall \sigma \forall \tau (\sigma \subseteq \tau, \tau \in T \Rightarrow \sigma \in T).$$

In this case we write

$$[T] = \{X \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \mid \forall n (X|n \in T)\} = \{\text{paths through } T\}.$$

Fact: A set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  is  $\Pi_1^{0,A}$

$$\iff P = [T] \text{ where } T \text{ is } \Pi_1^{0,A},$$

$$\iff P = [T] \text{ where } T \text{ is } A\text{-recursive.}$$

A treemap is a function  $F : T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\forall \sigma \forall i (\sigma^\frown \langle i \rangle \in T \Rightarrow F(\sigma)^\frown \langle i \rangle \subseteq F(\sigma^\frown \langle i \rangle)).$$

In this case we have another tree

$$F(T) = \{\tau \mid \exists \sigma (\tau \subseteq F(\sigma), \sigma \in T)\}$$

and  $F$  induces a homeomorphism  $F : [T] \cong [F(T)]$  given by  $F(X) = \bigcup \{F(X|n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for all  $X \in [T]$ .

A treemap  $F : T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  is said to be  $A$ -recursive if  $\exists e \forall \sigma (\sigma \in T \Rightarrow F(\sigma) = \{e\}^A(\sigma))$ . It is easy to see that if  $F$  and  $T$  are  $A$ -recursive then  $F(T)$  is  $A$ -recursive.

**Lemma 1.** Given a  $\Pi_1^{0,A'}$  set  $P$  we can find a  $\Pi_1^{0,A}$  set  $Q$  and an  $A$ -recursive treemap  $F : P \cong Q$ . Thus  $X \oplus A \equiv_T F(X) \oplus A$  uniformly for all  $X \in P$ .

**Proof.**  $P$  is  $\Pi_2^{0,A}$ , say  $P = \{X \mid \forall i \exists j R(X, i, j)\}$  where  $R$  is  $A$ -recursive. Let  $F(X) = X \oplus f$  where  $f(i) =$  the least  $j$  such that  $R(X, i, j)$  holds. Clearly  $F$  is  $A$ -recursive, and it can be shown that  $F$  is a treemap.

**Lemma 2.** Given a  $\Pi_1^{0,A'}$  set  $P$  we can find a  $\Pi_1^{0,A}$  set  $Q$  and an  $A'$ -recursive treemap  $H : P \cong Q$  such that  $X \oplus A' \equiv_T H(X) \oplus A' \equiv_T (H(X) \oplus A)'$  uniformly for all  $X \in P$ .

**Proof.** We first construct a particular  $A'$ -recursive treemap  $G : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ . Begin with  $G(\langle \rangle) = \langle \rangle$ . If  $G(\sigma)$  has been defined, let  $e = |\sigma| =$  the length of  $\sigma$ , and for each  $i$  let  $G(\sigma^\wedge \langle i \rangle) =$  the least  $\tau \supseteq G(\sigma)^\wedge \langle i \rangle$  such that  $\{e\}_{|\tau|}^{\tau \oplus A}(e) \downarrow$  if such a  $\tau$  exists, otherwise  $G(\sigma^\wedge \langle i \rangle) = G(\sigma)^\wedge \langle i \rangle$ . Note that for all  $X$  we have  $X \oplus A' \equiv_T G(X) \oplus A' \equiv_T (G(X) \oplus A)'$  uniformly.

Since  $G(P)$  is  $\Pi_1^{0,A'}$ , apply Lemma 1 to get an  $A$ -recursive treemap  $F : G(P) \cong Q$  where  $Q$  is  $\Pi_1^{0,A}$ . Then  $H = F \circ G : P \cong Q$  is our desired  $H$ .

**Lemma 3.** Given a  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(n)}}$  set  $P_n$  we can find a  $\Pi_1^0$  set  $P_0$  and a  $0^{(n)}$ -recursive treemap  $H : P_n \cong P_0$  such that  $X \oplus 0^{(n)} \equiv_T H(X) \oplus 0^{(n)} \equiv_T H(X)^{(n)}$  uniformly for all  $X \in P_n$ .

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 2  $n$  times. We then have  $P_n \cong \dots \cong P_i \cong P_{i-1} \cong \dots \cong P_0$  and  $P_i$  is  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(i)}}$  and for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have a treemap  $H_i : P_i \cong P_{i-1}$  such that, uniformly for all  $X \in P_i$ ,  $X \oplus 0^{(i)} \equiv_T H_i(X) \oplus 0^{(i)} \equiv_T (H_i(X) \oplus 0^{(i-1)})'$ . Our desired  $H : P_n \cong P_0$  is  $H_1 \circ \dots \circ H_n$ .

We now prove weak forms of Theorems 4 and 5.

**Theorem 4\* (simplified version of Theorem 4).** For each  $n$  there are  $\Pi_1^0$  singletons  $X, Y$  such that  $X \not\leq_T Y^{(n)}$  and  $Y \not\leq_T X^{(n)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  be such that  $0^{(n)} \leq_T X_n \leq_T 0^{(n+1)}$  and  $0^{(n)} \leq_T Y_n \leq_T 0^{(n+1)}$  and  $X_n \not\leq_T Y_n$  and  $Y_n \not\leq_T X_n$ . Then  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  are  $\Pi_2^{0,0^{(n)}}$  singletons. We may safely assume that they are  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(n)}}$  singletons. Let  $P_n = \{X_n, Y_n\}$ . Apply Lemma 3 to get  $H : P_n \cong P_0$ . Let  $X_0 = H(X_n)$  and  $Y_0 = H(Y_n)$ . Then  $X_0, Y_0$  are the desired  $X, Y$ .

**Theorem 5\* (simplified version of Theorem 5).** For each  $n$  there is a countable  $\Pi_1^0$  set  $P$  such that some  $Z \in P$  is not a  $\Pi_n^0$  singleton.

**Proof.** Let  $P_n$  be a countable  $\Pi_1^0$  set such that some  $Z_n \in P_n$  is not isolated in  $P_n$ . Apply Lemma 3 to get  $H : P_n \cong P_0$ . Let  $Z_0 = H(Z_n)$ . Then  $P_0$  and  $Z_0$  are the desired  $P$  and  $Z$ .

Details:  $H$  is a homeomorphism of  $P_n$  onto  $P_0$ , so  $Z_0$  is not isolated in  $P_0$ . Suppose  $Z_0$  were a  $\Pi_n^0$  singleton, say  $\{Z_0\} = \{X_0 \mid X_0^{(n)}(e) = 0\}$ . Since  $X_n \oplus 0^{(n)} \equiv_T H(X_n)^{(n)}$  uniformly for  $X_n \in P_n$ , let  $j$  be such that  $H(X_n)^{(n)}(e) = 0$  for all  $X_n \in P_n$  such that  $X_n \upharpoonright j = Z_n \upharpoonright j$ . Since  $Z_n$  is not isolated in  $P_n$ , let  $X_n \in P_n$  be such that  $X_n \upharpoonright j = Z_n \upharpoonright j$  and  $X_n \neq Z_n$ . Letting  $X_0 = H(X_n)$  we have  $X_0^{(n)}(e) = 0$  and  $X_0 \neq Z_0$ . This is a contradiction.

We now turn to the proofs of Theorems 4 and 5.

To prove Theorems 4 and 5, we extend Lemma 3 replacing  $n$  by  $\omega$ , the first infinite ordinal number. For  $X \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  define the  $\omega$ -jump  $X^{(\omega)} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by

$$X^{(\omega)} = X \oplus X' \oplus X'' \oplus \cdots \oplus X^{(n)} \oplus \cdots.$$

More precisely, let  $X^{(\omega)}((n, i)) = X^{(n)}(i)$  where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a recursive one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 3\* (Lemma 3 with  $n$  replaced by  $\omega$ ).**

Given a  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(\omega)}}$  set  $P_{\omega}$  we can find a  $\Pi_1^0$  set  $P_0$  and a  $0^{(\omega)}$ -recursive treemap  $H : P_{\omega} \cong P_0$  such that  $X \oplus 0^{(\omega)} \equiv_T H(X) \oplus 0^{(\omega)} \equiv_T H(X)^{(\omega)}$  uniformly for all  $X \in P_{\omega}$ .

In our proof of Lemma 3\*, we shall exploit the uniformity of Lemma 2, as we now explain.

Let  $T_e^A$ ,  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a standard enumeration of all  $\Pi_1^{0,A}$  trees. Then  $P_e^A = [T_e^A]$ ,  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a standard enumeration of all  $\Pi_1^{0,A}$  sets.

Lemma 2 holds uniformly. Namely, we can find a recursive function  $h$  and, for all  $e$  and  $A$ , an  $A'$ -recursive treemap  $H : P_e^{A'} \cong P_{h(e)}^A$  such that  $X \oplus A' \equiv_T H(X) \oplus A' \equiv_T (H(X) \oplus A)'$  uniformly for all  $X \in P_e^{A'}$ .

## Proof of Lemma 3\*.

Let  $T_\omega$  be a  $0^{(\omega)}$ -recursive tree such that  $P_\omega = [T_\omega]$ . We can choose  $T_\omega$  in such a way that  $\{\sigma \in T_\omega \mid |\sigma| \leq n\} \leq_T 0^{(n)}$  uniformly for all  $n$ .

Let  $T_{e,n}$  be a  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(n)}}$  tree consisting of  $\{\sigma \mid |\sigma| \leq n\}$  together with  $\{\tau \mid |\tau| > n, \tau|n \in T_\omega, \tau \in T_e^{\langle n \rangle \cap 0^{(n)}}\}$ . Note that  $P_{e,n} = [T_{e,n}]$  is a  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(n)}}$  set.

Using the uniformity of Lemma 2, we can find a recursive function  $h^*$  and, for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $0^{(n)}$ -recursive treemaps  $H_{e,n} : P_{e,n} \cong P_{h^*(e),n-1}$  such that  $X \oplus 0^{(n)} \equiv_T H_{e,n}(X) \oplus 0^{(n)} \equiv_T (H_{e,n}(X) \oplus 0^{(n-1)})'$  uniformly for all  $X \in P_{e,n}$ , and furthermore  $H_{e,n}(\sigma) = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma$  such that  $|\sigma| \leq n$ .

By the Recursion Theorem, let  $e$  be a fixed point of  $h^*$ . Thus  $T_e^A = T_{h^*(e)}^A$  for all  $A$ , so in particular  $T_{e,n} = T_{h^*(e),n}$  for all  $n$ . Using this  $e$ , define

$P_n = P_{e,n}$  and  $H_n = H_{e,n}$  for all  $n$ . Thus  $P_n$  is a  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(n)}}$  set and  $H_n : P_n \cong P_{n-1}$  is a treemap. Define  $H : P_\omega \cong P_0$  by  $H(\sigma) = (H_1 \circ \cdots \circ H_n)(\sigma)$  where  $n = |\sigma|$ . Then  $P_0$  and  $H$  are as desired.

Recall: we obtained Theorems 4\* and 5\* as easy consequences of Lemma 3.

We shall now obtain Theorems 4 and 5 as easy consequences of Lemma 3\*.

The proofs are exactly the same.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Let  $X_\omega$  and  $Y_\omega$  be such that  $0^{(\omega)} \leq_T X_\omega \leq_T 0^{(\omega+1)}$  and  $0^{(\omega)} \leq_T Y_\omega \leq_T 0^{(\omega+1)}$  and  $X_\omega \not\leq_T Y_\omega$  and  $Y_\omega \not\leq_T X_\omega$ . Then  $X_\omega$  and  $Y_\omega$  are  $\Pi_2^{0,0^{(\omega)}}$  singletons. We may safely assume that they are  $\Pi_1^{0,0^{(\omega)}}$  singletons. Let  $P_\omega = \{X_\omega, Y_\omega\}$ . Apply Lemma 3\* to get  $H : P_\omega \cong P_0$ . Let  $X_0 = H(X_\omega)$  and  $Y_0 = H(Y_\omega)$ . Then  $X_0, Y_0$  are the desired  $X, Y$ .

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Let  $P_\omega$  be a countable  $\Pi_1^0$  set such that some  $Z_\omega \in P_\omega$  is not isolated in  $P_\omega$ . Apply Lemma 3\* to get  $H : P_\omega \cong P_0$ . Let  $Z_0 = H(Z_\omega)$ . Then  $P_0$  and  $Z_0$  are the desired  $P$  and  $Z$ .

**THE END. THANK YOU!**