

A World Where  
Relative Definability  
C coinides With  
Relative Recursiveness  
(i.e., Turing Reducibility)

Stephen G. Simpson

Pennsylvania State University  
<http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/>  
simpson@math.psu.edu

Philosophy of Mathematics Workshop  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
December 9–10, 2000

## Outline of talk:

1. Reverse mathematics, SOSOA, FOM.
2.  $\omega$ -models of  $\text{WKL}_0$ .
3. Forcing with  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$ .
4. Symmetric models of  $\text{WKL}_0$ .
5. Foundational significance.
6. Muchnik and Medvedev degrees of  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$ .
7. Hyperarithmetical analogs.
8. References.

## Background:

Second order arithmetic is a two-sorted system.

*Number variables*  $m, n, \dots$  range over

$$\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

*Set variables*  $X, Y, \dots$  range over subsets of  $\omega$ .

We have  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $=$  on  $\omega$ , plus the membership relation

$$\in = \{(n, X) : n \in X\} \subseteq \omega \times P(\omega).$$

Within subsystems of second order arithmetic, we can formalize rigorous mathematics (analysis, algebra, geometry,  $\dots$ ).

Subsystems of second order arithmetic are the basis of our current understanding of the logical structure of contemporary mathematics.

## **Themes of Reverse Mathematics:**

Let  $\tau$  be a mathematical theorem. Let  $S_\tau$  be the weakest natural subsystem of second order arithmetic in which  $\tau$  is provable.

1. Very often, the principal axiom of  $S_\tau$  is logically equivalent to  $\tau$ .
2. Furthermore, only a few subsystems of second order arithmetic arise in this way.

For a full exposition, see my book.

## **Foundational consequences of Reverse Mathematics:**

1. We demonstrate rigorously that certain particular subsystems of second order arithmetic are mathematically natural.
2. We precisely classify mathematical theorems, according to which subsystems they are provable in.
3. ....
4. ....

## **Book on Reverse Mathematics:**

Stephen G. Simpson

*Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*

Perspectives in Mathematical Logic

Springer-Verlag, 1999

XIV + 445 pages

Web: [www.math.psu.edu/simpson/sosoa/](http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/sosoa/)

Order: 1-800-SPRINGER

List price: \$60

Discount: 30 percent for ASL members,  
mention promotion code S206

## **The FOM mailing list:**

FOM is an automated e-mail list for discussing foundations of mathematics. There are currently almost 500 subscribers. There have been more than 4700 postings.

FOM is maintained and moderated by S. Simpson. The FOM Editorial Board consists of M. Davis, H. Friedman, C. Jockusch, D. Marker, S. Simpson, A. Urquhart.

FOM postings and information are available on the web at

[www.math.psu.edu/simpson/fom/](http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/fom/)

The purpose of FOM is to promote the idea that mathematical logic is or ought to be driven by f.o.m. considerations.

f.o.m. = foundations of mathematics.

## The hierarchy of consistency strengths:

strong	{	supercompact cardinal ⋮ measurable cardinal ⋮ ZFC (ZF set theory with choice) Zermelo set theory simple type theory
medium	{	$Z_2$ (2nd order arithmetic) ⋮ $\Pi_2^1$ comprehension $\Pi_1^1$ comprehension $ATR_0$ (arith. transfinite recursion) $ACA_0$ (arithmetical comprehension)
weak	{	$WKL_0$ (weak König's lemma) $RCA_0$ (recursive comprehension) $PRA$ (primitive recursive arithmetic) $EFA$ (elementary arithmetic) bounded arithmetic ⋮

## **An important system:**

One of the most important subsystems of second order arithmetic is  $\text{WKL}_0$ .

$\text{WKL}_0$  includes  $\Delta_1^0$  comprehension (i.e., recursive comprehension) and Weak König's Lemma: every infinite subtree of the full binary tree has an infinite path.

## **Remarks on $\omega$ -models of $\text{WKL}_0$ :**

### 1. The $\omega$ -model

$$\text{REC} = \{X : X \text{ is recursive}\}$$

is not an  $\omega$ -model of  $\text{WKL}_0$ . (Kleene)

### 2. However, $\text{REC}$ is the intersection of all $\omega$ -models of $\text{WKL}_0$ . (Kreisel, “hard core”)

## Remarks on $\omega$ -models of $\text{WKL}_0$ (continued):

3. The  $\omega$ -models of  $\text{WKL}_0$  are just the *Scott systems*, i.e.,  $M \subseteq P(\omega)$  such that

(a)  $M \neq \emptyset$ .

(b)  $X, Y \in M$  implies  $X \oplus Y \in M$ .

(c)  $X \in M$ ,  $Y \leq_T X$  imply  $Y \in M$ .

(d) If  $T \in M$  is an infinite subtree of  $2^{<\omega}$ , then there exists  $X \in M$  such that  $X$  is a path through  $T$ .

Dana Scott, Algebras of sets binumerable in complete extensions of arithmetic, *Recursive Function Theory*, AMS, 1962, pages 117–121.

## Remarks on $\omega$ -models of $\text{WKL}_0$ (continued):

4. There is a close relationship between

(a)  $\omega$ -models of  $\text{WKL}_0$ , and

(b)  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$ .

The recursion-theoretic literature is extensive, with numerous articles by Jockusch, Kučera, and others. A recent survey is:

Douglas Cenzer and Jeffrey B. Remmel,  $\Pi_1^0$  classes in mathematics, *Handbook of Recursive Mathematics*, North-Holland, 1998, pages 623–821.

## Main results of this talk:

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$ , ordered by inclusion. Forcing with  $\mathcal{P}$  is known as *Jockusch/Soare forcing*.

Lemma (Simpson 2000). Let  $X$  be J/S generic. Suppose  $Y \leq_T X$ . Then (i)  $Y$  is J/S generic, and (ii)  $X$  is J/S generic relative to  $Y$ .

Theorem (Simpson 2000). There is an  $\omega$ -model  $M$  of  $\text{WKL}_0$  with the following property: For all  $X, Y \in M$ ,  $X$  is definable from  $Y$  in  $M$  if and only if  $X$  is Turing reducible to  $Y$ .

Proof.  $M$  is obtained by iterated J/S forcing. We have

$$M = \text{REC}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots]$$

where, for all  $n$ ,  $X_{n+1}$  is J/S generic over  $\text{REC}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

Corollary (Friedman 1974, unpublished, by a different method). There is an  $\omega$ -model  $M$  of  $\text{WKL}_0$  with the following property: For all  $X \in M$ ,  $X$  is definable in  $M$  if and only if  $X$  is recursive.

Note: Friedman's 1974 manuscript contains another result which contradicts my theorem above concerning relative definability. Friedman's proof of this other result is erroneous.

## **A $\Pi_1^0$ set of $\omega$ -models of $\text{WKL}_0$ :**

Theorem (Simpson 2000). There is a nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  subset of  $2^\omega$ ,  $P$ , with the following properties:

1. For all  $X \in P$ ,  $\{(X)_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a countable  $\omega$ -model of  $\text{WKL}_0$ , and every countable  $\omega$ -model of  $\text{WKL}_0$  occurs in this way.
2. For all nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  sets  $P_1, P_2 \subseteq P$  we can find a recursive homeomorphism

$$\Phi : P_1 \cong P_2$$

such that for all  $X \in P_1$  and  $Y \in P_2$ , if  $\Phi(X) = Y$  then

$$\{(X)_n : n \in \omega\} = \{(Y)_n : n \in \omega\} .$$

The proof uses an idea of Pour-El/Kripke 1967.

## Foundational significance:

*Foundations of mathematics (f.o.m.)* is the study of the most basic concepts and logical structure of mathematics, with an eye to the unity of human knowledge.

General background in f.o.m.: the van Heijenoort volume; Gödel's Collected Works; the Friedman volume.

Specific background: recursive mathematics, i.e., the development of mathematics in the computable world,  $\text{REC} = \{X : X \text{ is recursive}\}$ . See Aberth, Pour-El/Richards, . . . .

## Foundational significance (continued):

Regrettably, the assumption “all real numbers are computable” conflicts with many basic theorems of real analysis. E.g., the maximum principle for continuous real-valued functions on  $[0, 1]$ .

On the other hand, many such theorems are provable in  $\text{WKL}_0$ . This is a by-product of Reverse Mathematics.

To strike a balance, we can work in an  $\omega$ -model of  $\text{WKL}_0$  where all *definable* real numbers are computable. Thus many non-constructive theorems hold, yet REC is the “definable core”.

## Foundational significance (continued):

More generally, consider the scheme

(\*) For all  $X$  and  $Y$ , if  $X$  is definable from  $Y$  then  $X$  is computable from  $Y$

in the language of second order arithmetic.

Simpson 2000 shows that, for every countable model of  $\text{WKL}_0$ , there exists a countable model of  $\text{WKL}_0 + (*)$  with the same first order part.

Thus  $\text{WKL}_0 + (*)$  is conservative over  $\text{WKL}_0$  for first-order arithmetical sentences.

Often in mathematics, under some assumptions on a real parameter  $X$ , there exists a unique real  $Y$  having some property stated in terms of  $X$ . In this situation, (\*) implies that  $Y$  is Turing reducible to  $X$ .

## Two new structures in recursion theory:

$\mathcal{P}_w$  ( $\mathcal{P}_M$ ) consists of the Muchnik (Medvedev) degrees of nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$ , ordered by Muchnik (Medvedev) reducibility.

$P$  is Muchnik reducible to  $Q$  ( $P \leq_w Q$ ) if for all  $Y \in Q$  there exists  $X \in P$  such that  $X \leq_T Y$ .

$P$  is Medvedev reducible to  $Q$  ( $P \leq_M Q$ ) if there exists a recursive functional  $\Phi : Q \rightarrow P$ .

## Results and problems:

$\mathcal{P}_w$  and  $\mathcal{P}_M$  are countable distributive lattices with a top and bottom element, call them 1 and 0. In  $\mathcal{P}_w$  and  $\mathcal{P}_M$ , it is trivial that  $P, Q > 0$  implies  $\inf(P, Q) > 0$ , but we do not know whether  $P, Q < 1$  implies  $\sup(P, Q) < 1$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_w$ , for every  $P > 0$ , every countable distributive lattice is lattice-embeddable below  $P$ . For  $\mathcal{P}_M$  we have partial results in this direction.

This is joint work with my Ph. D. student Stephen Binns (2000).

## An invidious comparison:

The study of  $\mathcal{P}_w$  and  $\mathcal{P}_M$ , the Muchnik and Medvedev degrees of nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$ , is in some ways parallel to the study of  $\mathcal{R}_T$ , the Turing degrees of recursively enumerable subsets of  $\omega$ .

Analogy:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_w}{\mathcal{R}_T} = \frac{\text{WKL}_0}{\text{ACA}_0}$$

As is well known, there are no specific examples of recursively enumerable Turing degrees  $\neq 1, 0$ . (See the FOM discussion with Soare, July 1999.) In this respect,  $\mathcal{P}_w$  and  $\mathcal{P}_M$  are **much better**.

For example, the set of Muchnik degrees of  $\Pi_1^0$  subsets of  $2^\omega$  of positive Lebesgue measure contains a maximum degree, which is  $\neq 1, 0$ .

## Hyperarithmetical analogs:

Theorem (Simpson 2000). There is a countable  $\beta$ -model  $M$  such that, for all  $X, Y \in M$ ,  $X$  is definable from  $Y$  in  $M$  if and only if  $X$  is hyperarithmetical in  $Y$ .

In the language of second order arithmetic, consider the scheme

(\*\*) for all  $X, Y$ , if  $X$  is definable from  $Y$ , then  $X$  is hyperarithmetical in  $Y$ .

Theorem (Simpson 2000).

1.  $\text{ATR}_0 + (**)$  is conservative over  $\text{ATR}_0$  for  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentences.
2.  $\Pi_\infty^1\text{-TI}_0 + (**)$  is conservative over  $\Pi_\infty^1\text{-TI}_0$  for  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentences.

## References:

Stephen G. Simpson,  $\Pi_1^0$  sets and models of  $\text{WKL}_0$ , preprint, April 2000, 28 pages, to appear.

Stephen G. Simpson, A symmetric  $\beta$ -model, preprint, May 2000, 7 pages, to appear.

These and other papers are available at  
<http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/papers/>.

These transparencies are available at  
<http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/talks/>.