Mass Problems to the Rescue!

Stephen G. Simpson
Department of Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University
http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/

August 16, 2004

Note: This is an abstract of a 25-minute invited talk, to be given as part of a special session, Computability Theory and Applications, which will be part of meeting of the American Mathematical Society, October 23–24, 2004, to be held at Northwestern University, in Evanston, Illinois.

Abstract

Let \mathcal{R}_T be the countable upper semilattice of recursively enumerable Turing degrees. Post's 1944 paper suggested two profound problems concerning \mathcal{R}_T , both of which remain unsolved. (1) To find a specific, natural example of a recursively enumerable Turing degree other than 0' and 0. (2) To find a "smallness property" of the complement of a coinfinite recursively enumerable set A which ensures that the Turing degree of Ais < 0'. The purpose of this talk is to point out that, by moving to a slightly wider context, one obtains satisfactory solutions to problems (1) and (2). Instead of \mathcal{R}_T , consider the countable distributive lattice \mathcal{P}_w of weak degrees, a.k.a., Muchnik degrees, of mass problems associated with nonempty Π_1^0 subsets of 2^{ω} . It is known that \mathcal{R}_T is embedded in \mathcal{P}_w via a natural embedding which carries 0' and 0 to the top and bottom elements of \mathcal{P}_w , respectively. We identify \mathcal{R}_T with its image in \mathcal{P}_w under this embedding. Regarding problem (1), there are a variety of specific, natural degrees in \mathcal{P}_w which are related to foundationally interesting topics such as reverse mathematics, algorithmic randomness, subrecursive hierarchies, and computational complexity. Unfortunately, all of these specific, natural degrees in \mathcal{P}_w turn out to be incomparable with all of the recursively enumerable Turing degrees, except 0' and 0. Regarding problem (2), it turns out that there are "smallness properties" of nonempty Π_1^0 sets $P \subseteq 2^\omega$, analogous to simplicity, hypersimplicity, and hyperhypersimplicity, each of which implies that the weak degree of P is < 0'. (Some of this work is joint with Stephen Binns.)